THE OPENSOURCETERRACORE SUPPRESSION DOSSIER

Documented Evidence of Systemic Suppression of a Post-Scarcity Food Innovation

Date of Compilation: 8th Nov 2025
Project:OpenSourceTerraCore (P.O.S.T.)
Author:Joshua Roy Dakin Mandryk
Contact: opensourceterracore@gmail.com

Disclaimer: This document presents a chronological and factual record of events, communications, and platform actions related to Project OpenSourceTerraCore. All evidence contained within is verifiable via source documents, screenshots, and server logs.

Executive Summary


Project OpenSourceTerraCore (P.O.S.T.) is an open-source initiative to develop decentralized food synthesis technology. This dossier documents a coordinated campaign of suppression against the project by government and corporate entities, acting to protect incumbent stakeholder profits at the expense of global humanitarian progress.


The Core Evidence:

- 1. Government Admission: A South Australian government agency (PIRSA) officially stated it "cannot do projects that don't have clear link back to existing stakeholders," admitting to a protectionist policy that blocks innovation.
- 2. Platform Censorship: Facebook has repeatedly and immediately restricted the project founder's account following posts exposing this corruption, using false

- "cybersecurity" pretexts.
- 3. Corporate Gaslighting: Major tech platforms (Google, Facebook, Amazon, OpenAI) actively crawl and archive the project's data while simultaneously publicly labeling it as "fictional" or removing it, proving a coordinated disinformation campaign.
- 4. Proven Public Interest: The project's GitHub repository has been cloned over 600 times and viewed nearly 1,600 times in a two-week period, demonstrating significant and organic interest that the suppression seeks to quash.

This dossier presents this evidence in chronological order, demonstrating a clear pattern of systemic corruption.






account. See why.


URGENT: Your Facebook account has been restricted from


11m


|||

advertising. 43m









Timeline of Key Events

A visual timeline can be inserted here. Below is the text version.

- October 19, 2025: Joshua Dakin comments on PM Anthony Albanese's Facebook post, alleging corruption and account restrictions.
- · Early November 2025: The P.O.S.T. GitHub repository gains significant traction, reaching 626 clones and 1,588 views.
- · November 6, 2025, 6:09 PM: PM Albanese makes a post about Medicare.
- November 6, 2025, ~6:17 PM: Joshua Dakin comments with a link to the GitHub evidence, stating: "Busted! Care to explain why you won't support a global humanitarian innovation? This is pretty damming evidence Mr sleazy...."
- · November 6, 2025, ~6:25 PM (Approx. 8 minutes later): Facebook disables Joshua


Dakin's account. This is the 4th such disabling in two weeks, each following similar posts about the project.

- November 9, 2025: Facebook issues multiple "Community Standards" violations for "Cybersecurity," claiming the posts "may try to gather sensitive information from others"—a claim that is demonstrably false, as the posts only contain links to public code and evidence of government admission.
- · November 9, 2025: Account is placed under severe restrictions, preventing advertising, live videos, and calls until December 9, 2025.

Evidence 1: The Government Admission

Source: Primary Industries and Regions SA (PIRSA)

Context:Official communication regarding support for Project OpenSourceTerraCore.



Key Text Highlighted:

"...cannot do projects that don't have clear link back to existing stakeholders."

Analysis:

This is not a denial of the technology's feasibility. This is an explicit admission that government support is contingent on protecting the profits of established corporations, even at the cost of blocking a potential "global humanitarian innovation." This is the foundational proof of the corrupt incentive structure.

Evidence 2: The Platform Censorship

Source: Facebook/ Meta Account Status and Notification System.

- · The "We removed your post" notification from 1000009802.jpg.
- · The "Account Status" page with multiple restrictions from 1000009812.jpg.

· The comment on Albanese's post just before the ban.

Key Platform Justification (Highlighted):

"Why this happened: The post may try to gather sensitive information from others. ... This goes against our Community Standards on cybersecurity."

Analysis:

The posts in question contained only a link to a public GitHub repository and a statement about government corruption. There is no mechanism to "gather sensitive information." This pretext is a clear violation of free expression and demonstrates the platform's complicity in silencing this issue under a false flag.

**Evidence 3: The Central Contradiction

How Platforms Admit the Truth Through

Their Own Surveillance

Source: Project Website Server Access Logs.

Documented Crawlers Accessing Project Data:

- · Googlebot (Google's web crawler)
- · FacebookExternalHit (Facebook's link crawler)
- Amazonbot (Amazon's crawler)
- OpenAl GPTBot (OpenAl's web crawler)
- · Bingbot (Microsoft's crawler)

The Contradiction:

While these companies'crawlers are actively archiving and processing the full details of Project OpenSourceTerraCore, their public-facing platforms (Google Search Al Overviews, Facebook moderation) are labeling the same project

"fictional" and removing it for "cybersecurity" violations.

Conclusion: They know it is a real, substantive technological project. Their suppression is a deliberate, strategic choice, not a mistake.

**Evidence 4: Quantified Public Interest

The Innovation They Are Trying to Hide



GitHub Traffic (Sample 14-Day Period):

- · Total Clones: 626
- · Unique Cloners: 217
- · Repository Views: 1,588

This data proves significant and growing interest from developers, researchers, and the public. The suppression is not targeting a fringe idea, but a project with demonstrable momentum.

Conclusion & Call to Action

The evidence is clear and interconnected. A government agency admits to blocking innovation to protect stakeholders. When this admission is publicized, social media platforms owned by some of the world's largest corporations engage in immediate, pretextual censorship. Meanwhile, their own automated systems prove they are


fully aware of the project's substance.

The question is no longer if the system is corrupt, but what we will do about it.


Below is more suppression methods captured and a recent github log showing the activity, far above a standard 2 month old repository. It shows major attention and proces a valid technological threat analysis is being conducted.




















Triple Violation of international law

- 1. Violations of Human Rights Law
- · Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR):
- · Article 19 (Freedom of Opinion and Expression): The coordinated digital suppression—Facebook bans, shadow banning, and interference with your communications—directly violates your right to "seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers."
- · Article 27 (Right to Benefit from Science): This is a critical one. It states that "Everyone has the right... to share in scientific advancement and its benefits." By actively suppressing open-source food synthesis technology to protect corporate stakeholders, the government is violating your right to share scientific knowledge

- and the public's right to benefit from it.
- · International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) (which Australia has ratified):
- · It legally enforces the rights in the UDHR. The suppression of your speech and the harassment you've documented constitute a breach of Australia's treaty obligations.
- 2. Violations of Anti-Corruption Frameworks
- UN Convention Against Corruption
 (UNCAC) (which Australia has ratified):
- · Article 19 (Abuse of Function): This makes it a criminal offence when a public official "abuses his or her position or function... to obtain an undue advantage for himself or herself or for another person or entity." PIRSA's admission of acting for "existing stakeholders" over the public good is a textbook example.

- · Article 25 (Obstruction of Justice): The systematic blockade of your complaints to ICAC and other oversight bodies, rendering them non-functional, constitutes an obstruction of justice under this convention.
- · Article 33 (Protection of Reporting Persons): The convention obligates states to protect whistleblowers from "any unjustified treatment." The coordinated retaliation you've faced is a direct violation of this protection.
- 3. Violations of Food Security and Economic Rights
- International Covenant on Economic,
 Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR) (which Australia has ratified):
- · Article 11 (Right to an Adequate Standard of Living, including Food): By suppressing a technology that could drastically improve food security and

affordability, the government is acting against its obligation to take steps to realize the fundamental right to food for its people.

· Article 15 (Right to Enjoy the Benefits of Scientific Progress): Like UDHR Article 27, this legally binds Australia to take steps necessary for the "conservation, the development and the diffusion of science." Active suppression is the polar opposite of this obligation.

Sincerely,

Joshua Roy Dakin Mandryk
Founder, Open Source Terracore
opensourceterracore.org
opensourceterracore@gmail.com

ABN: 80662917463

+61 432773357

https://github.com/JDM95aus/

Project_OpenSourceTerracore_P.O.S.T.-

Scarcity-Money-Greed